

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/966,247	09/28/2001	Clark Thurston Hill	END920010029US1	5158
23550	7590 02/10/2006		EXAMINER	
HOFFMAN WARNICK & D'ALESSANDRO, LLC			SMITH, TRACI L	
75 STATE ST	reet			
14TH FL			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALBANY, N	Y 12207		3629	

DATE MAILED: 02/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	09/966,247 HILL, CLAR		RK THURSTON	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Traci L. Smith	3629		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 23 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS				
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or this application, applicant must timely file one of the foll places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comfollowing time periods: The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in pliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	affidavit, or other evide a compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or	
b) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing by		ne final rejection, whichever	er is later. In no	
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07	han SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F	of the final rejection.		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of	n which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a	a) and the appropriate exte	ension fee have	
been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened s above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mont earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	tatutory period for reply originally set in the	e final Office action; or (2)	as set forth in (b)	
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in con of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.	
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection			L	
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further c (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	onsideration and/or search (see NC low);	OTE below);		
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in barrandary			; the issues for	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)		ejected claims.		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1	•	compliant Amendment	t (PTOL-324).	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(•			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be the non-allowable claim(s).	allowable if submitted in a separate	e, timely filed amendm	nent canceling	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed amendment(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of	
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:				
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome all rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a	
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanate REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	ion of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.	
The request for reconsideration has been considered been see attached sheet.	out does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:	
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s13. ☐ Other:). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	1	
— ····································			_	

JOHN G. WEISS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600 1

Application/Control Number: 09/966,247

Art Unit: 3629

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to papers filed on January 23, 2006.

No claims have been amended.

Claims 1-34 are pending.

Claims 1-34 are rejected.

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed January 23, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 2. Applicant requests reconsideration on the grounds that Baker does not anticipate applicants invention.
- 3. Applicants arguments are based on the limitation of "in lieu of address".

Applicant is arguing that because Baker has both the address and the barcode on the piece of mail to be delivered that it does not anticipate. Examiner notes that applicants specification does not preclude the address to be placed on the envelope along with the barcode. In fact, applicant states the address need not be displayed, however can be included in order for quick reference for the sender and or postal service. In both Baker and applicants invention the code identifier is what is utilized to route the mail to the proper recipient. Therefore, applicant does not require most ONLY contain the code identifier. With this reasoning the examiner holds that Baker does anticipate applicants invention.

Art Unit: 3629

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Traci L. Smith whose telephone number is 571-272-6809. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:00 am-4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on 571-272-6812. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TLS

JOHN G. WEISS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

mil

Page 3